

FRP-RC Design - Part 1

Steve Nolan

Adapted from...

Seminar for Composites Australia, December 5, 2018

Design of concrete structures internally reinforced with FRP bars

Canada Research Chair in Advanced Composite Materials for Civil Structures NSERC/Industrial Research Chair in Innovative FRP Reinforcement for Concrete Director, The University of Sherbrooke Research Centre on FRP Composites Department of Civil Engineering


University of Sherbrooke, Sherbrooke, QC, Canada

brahim.benmokrane@usherbrooke.ca

Course Description

Fiber-reinforced polymer (FRP) materials have emerged as an alternative for producing reinforcing bars for concrete structures. Due to other differences in the physical and mechanical behavior of FRP materials versus steel, unique guidance on the engineering and construction of concrete structures reinforced with FRP bars is necessary.





Learning Objectives

- Understand the mechanical properties of FRP bars
- Describe the behavior of FRP bars
- Describe the design assumptions
- Describe the flexural/shear/compression design procedures of concrete members internally reinforced with FRP bars
- Describe the use of internal FRP bars for serviceability & durability design including long-term deflection
- Review the procedure for determining the development and splice length of FRP bars.

Content of the Complete Course

FRP-RC Design - Part 1, (50 min.)

This session will introduce concepts for reinforced concrete design with FRP rebar. Topics will address:

- Recent developments and applications
- Different bar and fiber types;
- Design and construction resources;
- Standards and policies;

BFRP-RC Design - Part 2, (50 min.)

This session will introduce FRP rebar that is being standardized under FHWA funded project *STIC-0004-00A* with extended FDOT research under BE694, and provide training on the flexural design of beams, slabs, and columns for:

- Design Assumptions and Material Properties
- Ultimate capacity and rebar development length under strength limit states;
- Crack width, sustained load resistance, and deflection under service limit state;



Content of the Complete Course

BFRP-RC Design - Part 3, (50 min.)

This session continues with FRP rebar from Part 2, covering shear and axial design of columns at the strength limit states for:

- Fatigue resistance under the Fatigue limit state;
- Shear resistance of beams and slabs;
- Axial Resistance of columns;
- Combined axial and flexure loading.

FRP-RC Design - Part 4 (Not included at FTS - for future training):

This session continues with FRP rebar from Part 3, covering detailing and plans preparation:

- Minimum Shrinkage and Temperature Reinforcing
- Bar Bends and Splicing
- Reinforcing Bar Lists
- General Notes & Specifications



Introduction - Atypical Applications

During the last few years, Universities have been working closely with national & international engineering firms and government departments (including some for FDOT):

- Bridges
- Parking facilities
- Water-treatment plants
- Tunnels
- Retaining walls
- Traffic Barriers
- RC/PC Sheet Piles











Introduction - Atypical Applications

Examples of major national and international projects using FRP bars:

- 1) Nipigon Bridge on the Trans-Canada Highway (northwestern Ontario, Canada)
- 2) Champlain Bridge (Montreal)
- 3) TTC Subway North Tunnels (Highway 407) (Toronto)
- 4) Port of Miami Tunnel (Florida FDOT)
- 5) Port of Tanger Med II (Morocco)
- 6) Precast Driven Piles (Florida FDOT)

Introduction - Atypical Applications




















North American Material Specifications and Design Codes for Concrete Structures Reinforced with FRP Bars

Course based on CAN/CSA-S6,-806 & -807 vs. AASHTO BDGS-2 and FDOT Specifications

- **1. ACI 440. 1R**: "Guide for the design and Construction of Structural Concrete Reinforced with FRP Bars". 1st Edition in 2001, 2nd Edition in 2003, 3rd Edition in 2006, 4th Edition in 2015, Design Code (ACI 318 in 2020).
- 2. AASHTO LRFD: "Bridge Design Guide Specifications for GFRP-Reinforced Concrete Bridge Decks and Traffic Railings". 1st Edition in 2009, 2nd Edition in 2019
- **3. ASTM D7957-17**: "Standard Specification for Solid Round Glass Fiber Reinforced Polymer Bars for Concrete Reinforcement". *1st Edition in 2017*
- **4. CAN/CSA S6**: "Canadian Highway Bridge Design Code", Section 16 "Fibre Reinforced Polymers (FRP) Structures". 1st Edition in 2000, 2nd Edition in 2006, Supplement S1 in 2010, 3rd Edition in 2014, 4th Edition in 2019
- **5. CAN/CSA S806**: "Design and Construction of Building Components with FRP". 1st Edition in 2002, 2nd Edition in 2012
- **6. CAN/CSA-S807**: "Specifications for Fibre Reinforced Polymers". 1st Edition in 2010, 2nd Edition in 2019

ACI 440.1R-06 Guide for the Design and **Construction of Structural Concrete** Reinforced with FRP Bars Reported by ACI Committee 440 American Concrete Institute®



This international standard was developed in secondance with internationally recognized principles on standardization established in the Decision on Principles for the Development of International Standards, Guides and Recommendations issued by the World Tende Organization Technical Burriers to Trade (TBT) Committee.



Designation: D7957/D7957M - 17

Standard Specification for Solid Round Glass Fiber Reinforced Polymer Bars for Concrete Reinforcement¹

This standard is issued under the titeal designation D/SV/D/SSVI, the number instructurely following the designation indicates the year of criginal adoption or in the case of revision, the year of last resistion. A number in parentines indicates the year of last restructure. A number in parentines indicated is difficulted about some the last revision or reterroyed.

1. Ser

- 1.1 This specification covers glass fiber reinforced polymer (GRPP) bars, provided in cut lengths and bent shapes and having an external surface ordinacement for concrete reinforcement. Bars covered by this specification shall meet the requirements for geometric, material, mechanical, and physical properies described berein.
- 1.2 Bars produced according to this standard are qualified using the test methods and must meet the requirements given by Table 1. Quality control and certification of production lots of bars are completed using the test methods and must meet the requirements given in Table 2.
- 1.3 The text of this specification references notes and footnotes which provide explanatory material. These notes and footnotes (excluding those in tables) shall not be considered as requirements of the specification.
- 1.4 The following FRP materials are not covered by this specification:
- 1.4.1 Bars made of more than one load-bearing fiber type (that is, hybrid FRP).
- 1.4.2 Bars having no external surface enhancement (that is, plain or smooth bars, or dowels).

 1.4.3 Bars with geometries other than solid, round cross-
- sections.

 1.4.4 Pre-manufactured grids and gratings made with FRP
- naterials.

 1.5 This specification is applicable for either SI (as Speci-
- 1.5 This specification is appreciate for either St (as Specification D7957M) or inch-pound units (as Specification D7957).
- 1.6 The values stand in either incl-pound units or SI units are to be regarded as standard. Within the text, the inch-pound units are shown in brackers. The values stated in each system are not exact equivalents; therefore, each system shall be used independently of the other. Conthining values from the systems may result in nonconformance with the specification.
- ³ This spectication is under the jurisdiction of ASIM Committee 1380 on Composite Materials and is the direct responsibility of Sobonnaittee 1380 for Composites for Civil Structures.
- Composites for Cost Structures
 Corrected edition approved Aug. 1, 2017. Published August 2017. Originally approved in 2017. DOI: 10.1520/17957_17957M-17.

- 1.7 This standard does not purport to address all of the safety roncerns, if any, associated with its use. It is the responsibility of the user of this standard to establish appropriate safery, health and environmental practices and deter-
- mine the applicability of regulatory liabilities prior to use

 1.8 This international stondard was developed in accordance with internationally recognized principles on standardization established in the Decision on Principles for the
 Development of International Standards, Guides and Recommendations itsued by the World Unde Organization Verlinical
 Burriers or Date (ITB) Committee.


2. Referenced Documents 2.1 ASTM Standards.²


- A615/A615M Specification for Deformed and Plain Carbon-Steel Bars for Concrete Reinforcement.
- C904 Terminology Relating to Chemical-Resistant Nonnetallic Materials
- D570 Test Method for Water Absorption of Plastics D792 Test Methods for Density and Specific Gravity (Relative Density) of Plastics by Displacement
- D258 Density) of Plastics by Displacement
 D258 Test Method for Ignition Loss of Cured Reinforced
- D3171 Test Methods for Constituent Content of Composite
- D3878 Terminology for Composite Materials
- D7205/D7205M Test Method for Tensile Properties of Fiber Reinforced Polymer Matrix Composite Bars
- D7617/D7617M Test Method for Transverse Shear Strength of Fiber-reinforced Polymer Matrix Composite Bars
- D7705/D7705M Test Method for Alkali Resistance of Fiber Reinforced Polymer (FRP) Matrix Composite Bars used in Concrete Construction
- D7913/D7913M Test Method for Bond Strength of Fiber-Reinforced Polymer Matrix Composite Bars to Concrete by Pullout Testing
- D7914/D7914M Test Method for Strength of Fiber Reinforced Polymer (FRP) Bent Bars in Bend Locations

For referenced ASTM standards, visit the ASTM website, www.astm.cog, or consect ASTM Consoner Service at service@astm.cog. For densed Rook of ASTM Standards volume information, refer to the standard's Document Summary page on the ASTM website.

Copyright © ASTM International, 100 Barr Liston Drive, PO Box C700, West Coremonotiver, TA 19429-2999, United State

1







- Design principles well established through extensive research and field practice, and experience gained on viability of construction management practices where FRP reinforcement is adopted through traditional low-bid letting processes and competitive bidding from multiple FRP bar suppliers
- Provisions governing testing and evaluation for certification and QC/QA
- Describes permitted constituent materials, limits on constituent volumes, and minimum performance requirements
- Specific properties of FRP reinforcement, design equations and resistance factors, detailing, material and construction specifications
- FRP bar preparation, placement (including cover requirements, reinforcement supports), repair, and field cutting.

Session 1: Materials & Design

FRP Material Characterizing & Durability Testing













SEM, FTIR, DSC, DMA, Creep/Mechanical, etc.

Techniques for assessing physical properties and microstructure of FRP bars

Technique	Principle and objective	Typical results		
DSC (Differential Scanning Calorimetry)	Measure the difference in the amount of heat required to increase the temperature of a sample and reference as a function of temperature Determination of glass transition temperature or softening point (Tg) and cure ratio			
TMA (Thermomechanic al Analysis)	Measure the change of dimension of a sample as a function of temperature Determination of coefficient of thermal expansion (CET)	10.0kV 9.2mm x100 SE(M) 10.0kV 8.3mm x2.50k SE(U) 20.0um		
FTIR (Infrared Spectroscopy)	Provide infrared spectrum of a material (i.e polymeric resin) to detect chemical changes, such as degradation Detection of chemical degradation of resin, such as hydrolysis	10.0kV 9.2mm x130 SE(M) 400um		
SEM (Scanning Electronic microscopy)	Produce images of a sample by scanning it with a focused beam of electrons Investigation of the morphology, structure, defects (porosity, microcracking, debonding,			

corrosion, etc.)

Session 1: Materials & Design

Example Structures Laboratory - University of Sherbrooke



Old Lab

Strong floor: 33' x 72'

20 Actuators (50 to 450 kip)

New Lab (2009)

Strong floor: 39' x 66'

MTS: 3,600 kip

L-Shape Reaction Walls 39'



Design Considerations

- The designer should understand that a direct substitution between FRP and steel bars is not possible due to differences in mechanical properties of the two materials
- A major difference is that FRP's are linear up to failure and exhibit no ductility or yielding
- Another major difference is that serviceability will be more of a design limitation in FRP reinforced members than with steel. Due to it's lower modulus of elasticity (e.g., GFRP bars), deflection and crack widths will govern the design.

Where should FRP Concrete Reinforcing be used?

- Any concrete member susceptible to steel corrosion by chloride ions
- Any concrete member requiring non-ferrous reinforcement due to electro-magnetic considerations, e.g. tolling plaza
- As an alternative to epoxy, galvanized, or stainless-steel rebars
- Where machinery will "consume" the reinforced member (i.e., mining and tunneling)
- Applications requiring thermal nonconductivity





Civil and Building Applications

Concrete exposed to de-icing chlorides or salt sprays:

- Bridge decks
- Approach slabs
- Barrier walls
- Railroad crossings
- Salt storage facilities
- Retaining walls
- Parking Garages
- Seawalls, piles and piers
- Marine structures



Tunneling Softeyes











Marine Structures

Corrosion of the steel reinforcement caused concrete delamination



Dry-Docks



Pearl Harbor, Hawaii

Marine Structures

Seawall Rehabilitation



Bridge Railings





Electromagnetic Applications

- MRI rooms in hospitals
- Airport radio & compass calibration pads
- Electrical high voltage transformer vaults
- Concrete near high voltage cables and substations
- Electronic tolling plaza pavements and traffic barriers





Electric Utilities

Wall Protection System

 Protect key transformer sites on the energy grid from ballistics, explosions and fire without requiring grounding of reinforcement






Electrified Rail Isolation

Miami MetroRail: 2.4 miles of elevated rail

Rail Plinths 100% reinforced with GFRP Bars





FRP Rebar Use in Concrete Bridges in USA

• 65 Bridges – 27 States

2
1
8
2
1
2
1
2
1
4
2
1
6
1

New Hampshire	1
New York	3
North Carolina	1
Ohio	4
Oregon	1
PA/NJ	1
Pennsylvania	1
Texas	3
Utah	2
Vermont	1
Virginia	1
West Virginia	9
Wisconson	3

Applications							
Deck only	Deck, parapet, barrier, enclosure, and/or sidewalk	Parapet, barrier, enclosure, and/or sidewalk					
56	5	4					

Source: ACMA, 2016



FRP Rebar Use in Concrete Bridges in Canada

• 202 Bridges – 5 provinces

	Rebar	Deck only	Deck, parapet, barrier, enclosure, and/or sidewalk	Parapet, barrier, enclosure, and/or sidewalk
Bridges in Canada	202	167	23	12

Source: ACMA, 2016

Nipigon River Cable-Stayed Bridge (Canada)

 The First Deck Slab Reinforced with GFRP Bars in Cable Stayed Bridge





Nipigon River Cable-Stayed Bridge (cont.)

- 2012-2017
- ~827 ft. (252m) in length
- two-span, four lanes
- 480 precast concrete panels (10 ft. x 23 ft.)
- High Performance concrete
- Panel joint filled with UHPFRC
- Many partners



Halls River Bridge Replacement, Florida, USA





Halls River Bridge Replacement (cont.)

- Owner: Citrus County, Designer: FDOT, Funding: FHWA
- Location: Homosassa, FL (north of Tampa)
- Superstructure: GFRP Bars: Deck, Barriers & Approach Slabs
 - ❖ 186 ft. overall bridge length, 58 ft. wide
 - ❖ 5 spans (37 ft.), continuous deck, simple span beams
- Substructure: CFRP Pre-stressed Piles; Bent Caps: GFRP Bars
- Sheet Pile Walls: CFRP Sheet Piles; Wall Cap: GFRP Bars
- Contractor Bid Cost \$6.016 Million (Structures = \$4M; \$2M Roadway & Utilities)
 - ❖ Bridge Cost = \$218 / sq. ft. (Conventional Construction = \$166 / sq.ft.)
- Accelerated Construction Potential
 - Lighter Materials Beams and Rebar
 - Faster Transportation and Delivery reduced construction time ??

Session 1: FRP Rebar Properties

FRP Reinforcing Bars - Typically produced by pultrusion process and its variations





Session 1: FRP Rebar Properties

FRP Bar Types

- Materials
 - Glass / vinyl ester
 - Carbon / epoxy
 - Basalt / epoxy/vinylester
 - Aramid / vinyl ester
- Forms
 - Solid round


Session 1: FRP Rebar Properties

FRP Bar Types

External Surface:

- Ribbed (a)
- Sand Coated (b)
- Wrapped and Sand Coated (c)
- Deformed (d)
- Helical (e)
- Grooved (g)
- Hollow core (h)





(b)





Differences from Steel

- High longitudinal strength to weight ratio
- Corrosion-resistant
- Electro-magnetic neutrality (glass/basalt/aramid)
- High fatigue endurance (carbon)
- Low thermal and electrical conductivity (glass/basalt)
- Light weight (1/4 steel)

Differences from Steel (cont.)

- No yielding before failure
- Low transverse strength
- Relatively low modulus (glass/basalt/aramid)
- Some susceptible to UV
- Sensitive to moisture (aramid)
- Sensitive to alkaline environment (glass/basalt)
- High CTE perpendicular to the fibers
- Susceptible to fire and smoke production


FRP Mechanical Properties and Behavior

FRP is anisotropic

High strength only in the fiber direction Anisotropic behavior affects shear strength, dowel action and bond performance

FRP does not exhibit yielding: is elastic until failure
 Design accounts for lack of ductility

Tensile Stress-Strain Characteristics



Tensile Stress-Strain Characteristics

	Steel	GFRP	CFRP	AFRP
Yield Stress				
ksi	40-75	N/A	N/A	N/A
(MPa)	(276-520)			
Tensile Strength				
ksi	70-100	70-230	87-535	250-368
(MPa)	(483-690)	(483-1585)	(600-3700)	(1725-2540)
Elastic Modulus				
X 10³ ksi	29	5.1 - 8.6	15.9 – 24	6.0 - 18.2
(GPa)	(200)	(40-60)	(109-165)	(41-125)
Yield Strain				
%	0.14-0.25	N/A	N/A	N/A

Factors Affecting Material Characteristics

- Fiber volume
- Type of fibers
- Type of resin
- Fiber orientation/straightness
- Quality control during manufacturing
- Rate of curing
- Void content
- Service temperature

Typical Densities of reinforcing bars

	Steel	GFRP	CFRP	AFRP
lb./ft ³	493	78 – 131	93 – 100	78 – 88
(g/cm3)	(7.90)	(1.25-2.10)	(1.50-1.60)	(1.25-1.40)


Coefficient of Thermal Expansion (CTE) 10-6/°F (x 10-6/°C)

Material	Longitudinal Direction	Transverse
Concrete	4 ~ 6 (7.2 to 10.8)	4 ~ 6 (7.2 to 10.8)
Steel	6.5 (11.7)	6.5 (11.7)
GFRP	3.5 ~ 5.6 (6.0 to 10.0)	≈ 30 (40)
CFRP	-4 ~ 0 (-9.0 to 0.0)	41 ~ 58 (74 to 104)
AFRP	-3.3 ~ -1.1 (-6 to-2)	33 ~ 44 (60 to 80)

Values of CTE differ between FRP materials and concrete and most relevant is the difference in the transverse bar direction


Effect of High Temperatures

- Resins will soften due to excessive heat
- Tensile, compressive, and shear properties of the resin diminish when temperatures approach the Glass Transition Temperature, T_g
- T_g values are approximately 230-240°F (110-115 °C) for vinyl ester resins which are typically used with GFRP rebar



Session 1: FRP Rebar Acceptance





Session 1: FRP Rebar Acceptance

At least five Canadian GFRP bar manufacturers qualified their products in accordance with *CAN/CSA S807* and obtained approvals from end-users and government authorities (such as MTO and MTQ):

- 1. B&B FRP Manufacturing, Inc. (MSTBAR)
- 2. BP Composites, Inc. (TUF-BAR) *
- 3. Fiberline Composites Canada, Inc. (COMBAR)
- 4. Pultrall, Inc. (V-ROD) *
- 5. Tempcorp, Inc. (TEMBAR)

* Also approved for FDOT use.

Other reputable manufactures supply North America:

USA: Marshall Composite Technologies Inc. (C-BAR); Composite Rebar Technologies Inc. (CRT); Basalt World (No Rust Rebar); Owens Corning (ASLAN formally Hughes Brothers Inc.)*

Europe: FiReP International AG (Switzerland), Asamer (Austria), Magmatech Ltd (United Kingdom); Sireg; ATP (Italy)*

Elsewhere: Galen (Russia); Pultron Composites Ltd. (MATEENBAR, NZ and Dubia)*



Session 1: FRP Rebar Acceptance

Qualification Tests per GFRP Bar Size (FDOT Spec 932; CSA S807-10)

- 1. Tensile Strength & Modulus at room temp.: <u>15*</u>, 24 samples
- 2. Tensile Strength & Modulus at cold temp.: <u>n/a</u>, 24 samples
- 3. Fiber Content: <u>15*</u>, 9 samples
- 4. Bond Strength: <u>15</u>, 24 samples
- 5. Transverse Shear Strength:

<u>15</u>, 24 samples

6. Strength of bent bars:

<u>15*</u>, 24 samples

7. Transverse Coeff. Thermal Expansion:

n/a, 9 samples

- 8. Void Content: <u>n/a</u>, 9 samples
- 9. Water Absorption: <u>15*</u>, 15 samples
- 10. Cure Ratio/Polymerization:

9*, 15 samples

11. Glass Transition Temperature:

<u>9*</u>, 15 samples

12. Alkaline Resistance without/load:

15, 24 samples

13. Alkaline Resistance with/load:

15, 24 samples

14. Creep Rupture:

n/a, 24 samples

^{*} FDOT project level testing @ 3 per bar size

Development of FRP Bar Solutions in North America

- GFRP Bars
- CFRP Bars
- GFRP & CFRP Stirrups
- GFRP & CFRP Spirals & Hoops
- GFRP Bent Bars
- GFRP Headed Bars
- GFRP Dowels
- GFRP Adhesive Anchors
- BFRP Bars (recently)

Grades of FRP Bars in Canada

(CAN CSA S807-10)

© Canadian Standards Association

Specification for fibre-reinforced polymers

Table 2
Grades of FRP bars and grids corresponding to their minimum modulus of elasticity, GPa

(See Clause 8.3 and Table 3)

Designation	Grade I		Grade II		Grade III	
	Individual bars	Bars in a grid	Individual bars	Bars in a grid	Individual bars	Bars in a grid
AFRP	50	40	70	60	90	80
CFRP	80	70	110	100	140	130
GFRP	40	30	50	40	60	50

Grades of FRP Bars in Florida (FDOT Spec 932-3, similar to ASTM D7957)

Table 3-1 Sizes and Tensile Loads of FRP Reinforcing Bars							
Bar Size Designation	Nominal Bar Diameter (in)	Nominal Cross Sectional Area (in ²)	Measured Cross (in		Minimum Guaranteed Tensile Load (kips)		
			Minimum	Maximum	BFRP and GFRP Bars	CFRP Bars	
2	0.250	0.049	0.046	0.085	6.1	10.3	
3	0.375	0.11	0.104	0.161	13.2	20.9	
4	0.500	0.20	0.185	0.263	21.6	33.3	
5	0.625	0.31	0.288	0.388	29.1	49.1	
6	0.750	0.44	0.415	0.539	40.9	70.7	
7	0.875	0.60	0.565	0.713	54.1	-	
8	1.000	0.79	0.738	0.913	66.8	-	
9	1.128	1.00	0.934	1.137	82.0	-	
10	1.270	1.27	1.154	1.385	98.2	-	

51

Improving Properties of FRP Bars in North America

Glass FRP Bars (High Modulus and High Strength)

- 1. Guaranteed Tensile Strength up to 175 ksi (1,200 MPa)
- 2. Modulus of Elasticity up to 9,000 ksi (60 GPa)






Improving FRP Bars in North America

Carbon FRP Bars:

- 1. Guaranteed Tensile Strength up to 290 ksi (2,000 MPa)
- 2. Modulus of Elasticity up to 20,000 ksi (135 GPa)







Improving FRP Bars in North America

Basalt FRP Bars (High Modulus and High Strength)

- 1. Guaranteed Tensile strength up to 200 ksi (1400 MPa)?
- 2. Modulus of elasticity up to 9,000+ ksi (64-75 GPa)



Bent Bars & Complex Shapes in North America

FRP Stirrups









Bent Bars & Complex Shapes in North America

FRP Ties








Bent Bars & Complex Shapes in North America

FRP Spirals and Hoops









Bent Bars in North America

GFRP Bent Bars






Other FRP Solutions in North America

Glass FRP Headed bars







Session 1:

End of Session

Questions

Co-presenters:

Raphael Kampmann PhD

FAMU-FSU College of Engineering Tallahassee, FL.

kampmann@eng.famu.fsu.edu

Marco Rossini, PhD student

University of Miami.

Coral Gables, FL.

mxr1465@mami.edu

FDOT Design Contacts:

Steven Nolan, P.E.

FDOT State Structures Design Office,

Tallahassee, FL.

Steven.Nolan@dot.state.fl.us

FDOT Materials and manufacturing:

Chase Knight, Ph.D, P.E.

State Materials Office,

Gainesville, FL.

Chase.Knight@dot.state.fl.us